

Plateau Regime Transport for Elongated Tokamaks with High Longitudinal Particle Flow

V. S. Tsypin, C. A. de Azevedo, A. G. Elfimov

*Instituto de Física, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro
20550-015, Maracanã, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil*

A. S. de Assis

Instituto de Matemática - GMA, 24020Niterói, RJ, Brazil

Received in July 13, 1995

The particle and heat transport in a transverse circular cross-section tokamak, with high longitudinal particle velocities, were extensively studied in many works. Here we are studying the particle and heat transverse fluxes in tokamak configurations with an elongated cross-section. This work is a generalization of the Wong and Burrell [Phys. Fluids 25, 1863 (1982)] study for this case.

I. Introduction

The neutral beam injection is one of the main methods of the auxiliary plasma heating and current drive in tokamaks^[1]. Due to the beam injection, the plasma rotates in the toroidal direction and then new interesting plasma dynamics problems appear, mainly in the transport theory. Although the plasma toroidal velocity is supposed, now^[2], to be smaller than the sound velocity, since it is convenient to improve the power deposition and correspondingly to increase the ion temperature, it is necessary to know the transport coefficients in a tokamak plasma with particle sound toroidal velocities. The poloidal and toroidal rotation in a tokamak have been under consideration for decades^[3-7], both in collisional^[3,5,6], and weakly collisional^[4,7] plasmas.

The particle and heat transport in a transverse circular cross-section toroidal plasma, with particle high longitudinal velocities, were studied earlier^[7-10]. Here, we are considering the particle and heat transverse fluxes in toroidal configurations with an elongated cross-section. This work is a generalization of the Wong and Burrell^[7] study for this case.

II. Basic equations

As in Ref. [7], we use the drift kinetic equation^[6]

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} \cdot \nabla f + \frac{dv_{\parallel}}{dt} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_{\parallel}} + \frac{dv_{\perp}^2}{dt} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_{\perp}^2} = C, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\frac{d\mathbf{r}}{dt} = v_{\parallel} \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{V}_E + \left(\frac{v_{\perp}^2}{2} + v_{\parallel}^2 \right) \frac{1}{\omega_B} [\mathbf{h} \times \nabla \ln B],$$

$$\frac{dv_{\parallel}}{dt} = \frac{e}{M} E_{\parallel} + \frac{v_{\perp}^2}{2} \text{div} \mathbf{h} + v_{\parallel} \mathbf{V}_E \cdot \nabla \ln B,$$

$$\frac{dv_{\perp}^2}{dt} = -v_{\perp}^2 (v_{\parallel} \text{div} \mathbf{h} + \text{div} \mathbf{V}_E + \mathbf{V}_E \cdot \nabla \ln B).$$

Here $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{B}/B$, $\mathbf{V}_E = c[\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{h}]/B$, $\omega_B = eB/Mc$.

We wish to find a solution of Eq. (1) in the coordinate system $x^i = \{r, \theta, \zeta\}$ with the circular magnetic surfaces and with the straight magnetic field lines. In this case, the radial coordinate r is equal to $r = \sqrt{l_1 l_2}$, where l_1 and l_2 are the minor and the large half-axis of the torus elliptical cross-section, respectively. The values θ and ζ are the poloidal and toroidal angles.

In this coordinate system, the magnetic field has the following form

$$B = B_s \left(1 + \epsilon^* \cos \theta + \frac{\epsilon^* A}{2} \cos^2 \theta \right), \quad (2)$$

where $A = \epsilon^* (\exp(2\eta) - 1)/q^2$, $\epsilon^* = \epsilon \exp(-\eta/2)$, $\eta = \ln(l_2/l_1)$, $\epsilon = r/R$ and R is the torus large radius. In the circular cross-section tokamak, the values of A and η are equal to zero and $\epsilon^* = \epsilon$.

We'll find the solution of Eq.(1), in the r -approximation, with the equilibrium Maxwell distribution function

$$f_0 = n \left(\frac{M}{2\pi T} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left(-\frac{Mw^2}{2T} \right), \quad (3)$$

where $w^2 = v_{\perp}^2 + w_{\parallel}^2$, $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v} - V_{\parallel}$, $f = f_0 + f_1$, V_{\parallel} is the particle mean velocity along the magnetic field. Using Eq.(2), we derive the solution of Eq.(1) as

$$f_1 = f_0 \frac{M}{T} \left\{ \frac{P}{u} \left[\frac{e}{M} \psi \left(-u + \frac{q}{\epsilon} U \right) + q \frac{\epsilon^*}{\epsilon} D \left(\cos\theta + \frac{A}{4} \cos 2\theta \right) \right] + \right. \\ \left. + \pi \delta(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[\frac{e}{M} \left(-u + \frac{q}{\epsilon} U \right) \left(\psi_1 + \frac{1}{2} \psi_2 \right) + q \frac{\epsilon^*}{\epsilon} D \left(\cos\theta + \frac{A}{8} \cos 2\theta \right) \right] \right\}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$D = \frac{v_{\perp}^2}{2} U + (w_{\parallel} + V_{\parallel}) \left[w_{\parallel} \left(U - \frac{\epsilon}{q} V_{\parallel} \right) + V_{\parallel} (U - U_{\theta} + U_p) \right],$$

$$\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2, \quad \psi_1 = \psi_{1s} \sin\theta + \psi_{2c} \cos\theta, \quad \psi_2 = \psi_{2s} \sin 2\theta + \psi_{2c} \cos 2\theta,$$

$$u = w_{\parallel} + \frac{q}{\epsilon} (U_{\theta} - U_p), \quad U = U_{\theta} + U_T \left(\frac{Mw^2}{2T} - \frac{5}{2} \right),$$

$$U_p = \frac{1}{M\omega_B n} \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}, \quad U_T = \frac{1}{M\omega_B} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}.$$

Here the poloidal velocity U_{θ} is equal to

$$U_{\theta} = \frac{\epsilon}{q} \frac{V^{\theta}}{h^{\theta}},$$

V^{θ} and h^{θ} are the contravariant components of the velocity \mathbf{V} and the unit vector \mathbf{h} , where

$$\mathbf{V} = V_{\parallel} \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{V}_E + \mathbf{V}_p, \quad \mathbf{V}_p = \frac{1}{enB} [\mathbf{h} \times \nabla p], \quad (5)$$

The values U_p and U_T are the particle drift velocities. We suppose, also, that the electric field has the form $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi$. The expressions P/u and $\delta(u)$ in Eq.(4) denote the Cauchy principal value and the delta-function, respectively.

III. Absence of the high poloidal velocity regime

It is well-known that for a circular cross-section tokamak, the poloidal velocity U_{θ} is much smaller than the plasma sound velocity c_s , where $c_s^2 = (T_i + T_e)/M_i$ [9], [11], for both a collisional and for a weakly-collisional regimes. We can prove that in the elliptical torus this value will be of the level of the drift velocities.

Suppose, that U_{θ} is greater than the drift velocities U_p and U_T . In this case, we can omit the drift terms in Eq.(4) and we have,

$$U = U_{\theta}, \quad u = w_{\parallel} + \frac{q}{\epsilon} U_{\theta}, \quad (6)$$

$$D = \frac{v_1^2}{2} U_\theta + \left(U_\theta - \frac{\epsilon}{q} V_{\parallel} \right) \left[u^2 + u \left(V_{\parallel} - \frac{2q}{\epsilon} U_\theta \right) + \frac{q}{\epsilon} U_\theta \left(\frac{q}{\epsilon} U_\theta - V_{\parallel} \right) \right].$$

Assume, also, that

$$\psi = \epsilon^* (\tilde{\psi}_{1c} \cos\theta + \tilde{\psi}_{2c} \cos 2\theta). \tag{7}$$

We need to find the radial particle flux

$$\Gamma_{nj} = \int d\mathbf{v} \left\langle f_j \frac{dr_j}{dt} \right\rangle, \tag{8}$$

where "j" denotes the kind of particle and

$$\langle \dots \rangle = \oint (\dots) \frac{dl}{B} / \oint \frac{dl}{B} \tag{9}$$

The integration here is along the magnetic field line.

As it can be seen, from Eq.(1), the radial velocity is

$$\frac{dr_j}{dt} = \frac{\epsilon^* c}{\epsilon R B} \left[\tilde{\psi}_{1c} \sin\theta + 2\tilde{\psi}_{2c} \sin 2\theta + \frac{M_j}{e_j} \left(\frac{v_1^2}{2} + v_{\parallel}^2 \right) \left(\sin\theta + \frac{A}{2} \sin 2\theta \right) \right]. \tag{10}$$

Using now Eqs.(4), (8)-(10), we obtain

$$\Gamma_{nj} = -\frac{\epsilon^{*2}}{\epsilon^2} \frac{qn\sqrt{\pi}}{R\omega_{Bj} v_{Tj}^3} U_\theta \exp\left(-\frac{q^2 U_\theta^2}{\epsilon^2 v_{Tj}^2}\right) \left\{ \frac{T_j^2}{M_j^2} \left(1 + \frac{A^2}{4} \right) + \left[\frac{e_j}{M_j} \tilde{\psi}_{1c} + \frac{T_j}{M_j} + \left(V_{\parallel} - \frac{q}{\epsilon} U_\theta \right)^2 \right]^2 + 2 \left[\frac{e_j}{M_j} \tilde{\psi}_{2c} + \frac{AT_j}{4M_j} + \frac{A}{4} \left(V_{\parallel} - \frac{q}{\epsilon} U_\theta \right)^2 \right]^2 \right\}, \tag{11}$$

where $v_{Tj}^2 = 2T_j/M_j$, q is the safety factor, $q = \phi'/\chi'$, ϕ and χ are the toroidal and poloidal inagnetic fluxes. From Eq.(11), we see, that the ambipolarity condition

$$\sum_j e_j \Gamma_{nj} = 0 \tag{12}$$

can not be fulfilled, as we have in Eqs.(11),(12) the sum of the squared values. Consequently, the stationary poloidal velocities around the sound velocities in the elliptic toltamalt, as in a circular cross-section tokamalt, do not exist.

IV. Electric field potential

We use now that $U_{\theta j} \ll c$. In this case, we can derive the perturbed particle densities

$$\tilde{n}_j = \int d\mathbf{v} f_{1j} = -\frac{e_j n}{T_j} \tilde{\psi} - \frac{\epsilon^* n M_j}{T_j} V_{\parallel}^2 \left(\cos\theta + \frac{A}{4} \cos 2\theta \right). \tag{13}$$

Here, the small terms, that are of the same order of magnitude as the drift terms, are omitted.

From the quasineutrality condition, we have

$$\sum_j e_j n_j = 0. \tag{14}$$

After some algebra, the potential ψ can be calculated

$$\tilde{\psi} = -\epsilon^* \frac{\sum_j e_j n_j \frac{M_j V_{j\parallel}^2}{T_j}}{\sum_j \frac{e_j^2 n_j}{T_j}} \left(\cos\theta + \frac{A}{4} \cos 2\theta \right). \tag{15}$$

Now, we can write the values f_{1j} and dr_j/dt in the form

$$f_{1j} = -\frac{\epsilon^* q \sqrt{\pi}}{\epsilon v_{Tj}} \delta(u) \left[U_{\theta j} + U_{Tj} \left(x - \frac{5}{2} \right) \right] (x + G_j) \left(\sin\theta + \frac{A}{4} \sin 2\theta \right), \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{dr_j}{dt} = \frac{\epsilon^* c T_j}{\epsilon e_j R B} (x + G_j) \left(\sin\theta + \frac{A}{2} \sin 2\theta \right). \quad (17)$$

Here, $x = M_j v_{1j}^2 / 2T_j$ and

$$G_j = \frac{M_j V_{\parallel j}^2}{T_j} \left(1 - \frac{e_j}{M_j} \frac{\sum_k \frac{e_k n_k M_k}{T_k}}{\sum_k \frac{e_k^2 n_k}{T_k}} \right). \quad (18)$$

V. Particle and heat fluxes.

Now, we can get the radial particle and heat fluxes. The last one is defined below

$$\Gamma_{Tj} = \int d\mathbf{v} \left\langle f_j \frac{M_j w^2}{2} \frac{dr_j}{dt} \right\rangle \quad (19)$$

Using Eqs.(16), (17) and the definitions Eqs.(8) and (19), we find

$$\Gamma_{nj} = -\frac{n_j v_{Tj} q \sqrt{\pi} \epsilon^*}{2R\omega_{Bj} \epsilon^2} \left(1 + \frac{A^2}{16} \right) \left[U_{\theta j} \left(1 + G_j + \frac{1}{2} G_j^2 \right) + \frac{U_{Tj}}{2} \left(1 - G_j - \frac{3}{2} G_j^2 \right) \right], \quad (20)$$

$$\Gamma_{Tj} = -\frac{n_j v_{Tj} q T_j \sqrt{\pi} \epsilon^*}{2R\omega_{Bj} \epsilon^2} \left(1 + \frac{A^2}{8} \right) \left[U_{\theta j} \left(3 + 2G_j + \frac{1}{2} G_j^2 \right) + \frac{U_{Tj}}{2} \left(9 + 2G_j - \frac{1}{2} G_j^2 \right) \right]. \quad (21)$$

If we make the ellipticity equal to zero, i.e. $\epsilon^* = \epsilon$ and $A = 0$, we obtain the Wong and Burrell [7] expressions for the fluxes.

VI. Conclusion.

As it follows from the ambipolarity condition, Eq.(12), and from the particle fluxes, Eq.(20), this condition is the same, as in the circular cross-section tokamak, and hence the ambipolar poloidal velocity value is the same. The ellipticity influences only the values of the fluxes. For the usual value $A \approx 1$ (as in JET - Joint European Torus), this influence is given by the ratio ϵ^*/ϵ^2 , which equals the ratio l_1/l_2 . This is the decreasing factor of the neoclassical fluxes in the elliptical tokamak. We see, also, that the fluxes are proportional

to the temperature gradients T_j' . This can be shown by using the ambipolarity condition, Eq.(12), and the substitution of the obtained expressions for $U_{\theta j}$ into Eqs.(20), (21).

References

1. S. D. Scott, M. Bitter, R. J. Fonk, R. J. Goldston et al., Plasma Phys. and Contr. Nucl. Fusion Res., 1988, Proc. 12th Int. Conf. Nice, **1**, 655, IAEA, Vienna (1989).
2. W. B. F. Core, P. Van belle, G. Sadler, Proc. 14th Int. Conf. Madrid, **II.D.**, part I, 49, IAEA, Vienna (1987).
3. T. Stringer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **22**, 770, (1969).
4. R. D. Hazeltine, F. L. Hinton, Phys. Fluids **16**, 1838, (1973).
5. R. D. Hazeltine, Phys. Fluids **17**, 961, (1974).

6. A. B. Mikhailovskii, V. S. Tsypin, *Sov. Phys. JETP*. 56, 75, (1982).
7. S. K. Wong, K. H. Burrell, *Phys. Fluids* 25, 1863, (1982).
8. S. K. Wong, F. L. Hinton, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 52, 827, (1984).
9. V. S. Tsypin, *Sov. J. of Plasma Phys.* 11, 201, (1985).
10. V. S. Tsypin, *Sov. J. of Plasma Phys.* 14, 466, (1987).
11. A. B. Mikhailovskii, *Sov. J. of Plasma Phys.* 9, 346, (1982).